

Alternative views

Stem cloud rising above the North Tower's cauldron




<http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/photos/wtc1dust13.html>

Physics, Fear and Fun

The nuclear gadget of September 11th, 2001

Analytical thinking, emotions and instincts

When describing the development of the human brain, scientists often use the model of the 'triune brain'; the reptilian complex (instincts), the limbic system (emotions) and the neocortex (analytical thinking) form one unit.



Control by fear of a target population can be achieved easily by inducing a kind of shock paralysis by appropriately large catastrophes or threats: in such cases the neocortex is taken over by the reptilian complex.

Dear reader,



we are currently experiencing a redefinition of money.

The former gold based monetary system could soon give way to a Central Bank Digital Currency, with dangers far worse than the Covid QR code.

Such a system will enable complete surveillance and centralized control of the individual citizen.


First and foremost, it will be the fear of **disconnection from the system and loss of access rights** that will form the new value base.

Many of us are now asking already:

"How could it have come to this?"

This booklet tries to give an answer why big lies succeed systemically: the paralyzing fear of terrorism, inflation, epidemics, famine and war can very well be artificially created without being real. In order to free oneself from this fear it must first be understood that the nightmare is homemade and made with intent.

Physics



"It doesn't make any difference how smart you are, who made the guess, or what his name is. If it disagrees with experiment, it's wrong. That's all there is to it."

Richard Feynman

Especially in physics, truth is by far more powerful than deception.

A physical process can usually be described by its **energy**, its **momentum** (direction and velocity), as well as by **material constants** of solid state physics.


The energy principle always comes first. For example, if the energy of a system is so high that it cannot be generated chemically, then the energy source must necessarily be nuclear in nature. All other arguments are secondary and subdued.

If you can heat your house for a winter with 1 gram of fuel, your heat source is nuclear.*

*) Related to one kilogram, uranium-235 contains two to three million times the energy equivalent of oil or coal.

<https://www.euronuclear.org/glossary/fuel-comparison/>

Radioactivity



"Every nuclear process inevitably produces radioactive elements, these elements can always and easily be detected."

The first part of the sentence is certainly correct. The second part is only partly correct.


Radioactive particles can be:

- deflected
- captured/masked
- diluted
- transformed into non-radioactive elements over time

Example of a nuclear and amazingly "clean" process

Nuclear processes take place in the sun. Its gravitational field constantly counteracts the inner explosion pressure, so the radioactive elements remain inside the sun. The few nevertheless escaping charged particles and radioactive elements are called solar wind. The magnetosphere of the earth shields the earth's surface from this solar wind: for us the radioactive sun seems to be "clean".

Trinity test



Trinity was the code name for the first detonation of a nuclear weapon;
The detonation took place on July 16, 1945; Image source: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trinity_\(nuclear_test\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trinity_(nuclear_test))

Lead scientist J. Robert Oppenheimer recalls:

"A few people laughed, a few people cried, most people were silent. I remembered the line from the Hindu scripture the Bhagavad Gita. Vishnu is trying to persuade the prince that he should do his duty and, to impress him, takes on his multi-armed form and says 'Now I am become Death, the destroyer of worlds.' "



One can certainly describe the person of Oppenheimer as tragic.

The dance with death, depression and illness, as well as being involved in the development of lethal technology, was certainly a part of his life.

Blame, reproach and remorse plagued Oppenheimer, especially after the dropping of the two atomic bombs on Japan in 1945.

Nuclear landscaping




The atmospheric explosion Trinity with an energy release of only 25 kt released a large amount of radioactive elements. In subsequent years, efforts were made to minimize the release of radionuclides for civilian applications (for creating lakes, canals, tunnel construction, railroad lines, ports, underground gas storages, etc.).

By using a water reservoir, for example, the explosive power is increased and, because of the neutron capture in water, the **formation of many radioisotopes is avoided**.



Volume 1; PDF page 90; <https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/4106908>

Also, if the device is buried 150 m deep in granite and its energy release is 150 kt, then one will note an earthquake only [with the insertion shaft completely concrete-sealed and without the use of a water booster]. Radioactivity is not released. When using an additional steel cable, "clean" energy can also flow from point A to B (instantly).



Fear and free speech



From a highly paid physics professor at the university one may expect that he remains truthful to his science: energy, momentum and the laws of solid-state physics are the analysis criteria for any observed physical system.


Regarding the picture shown on the right page, we now ask the question:
"What does EVERY physics professor immediately see in this picture?"

To understand the phenomenon of the global silence of September 11, 2001, one must understand how the human brain works: instinctively in the face of danger.

The physics professor sees on the right page:

- a career killer
- an empty plate of soup
- the loss of his partner
- his own children without a future

The brain's reptilian complex immediately takes control of the neocortex. Everyone is silent; globally.



<https://i.pinimg.com/736x/7d/09/7c/7d0937ce48cb6be70407d2d95b963b261--wfc---american-history.jpg>





[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedan_\(nuclear_test\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedan_(nuclear_test))

Comparison: Storax Sedan (1962) • complete excavation of the sandy soil

Energy	104 kt	Radius of the crater	200 m (656 feet)
Depth of Burial	194 m (636 feet)	Earthquake (Richter scale)	4.75
Fission	30%	Radius of dust flow	4 km (2.5 miles)
Fusion	70%	Contamination	high
Depth of the crater	100 m (320 feet)	Remaining energy in the soil	low



1. Impulse

[vertical upwards]



<http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/photos/wtc1exp10.html>

North Tower • eruption from granite soil (data are estimates)

Energy (partly progressive)	150 kt	Depth and radius of the crater	no crater
Depth of Burial	75 m	Earthquake (Richter scale)	2.3 (registered; proven)
Remaining energy in granite	approx. 95%	Radius of dust flow	2 km (observed; proven)
Energy breaking out	approx. 5%	Contamination	very low / inconspicuous



2. Pyroclastic dust flow

Typical dust formation due to the disintegration of the molecular crystal lattice structures by a sharp energy pulse (results in hot steel dust, granite dust, concrete dust).



3. High energy input



At left is a thermal image of the WTC area on **September 16th, 2001.**

<https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2001/ofr-01-0429/thermal.r09.html>

Extremely important here is the duration of the cooling down time of the soil/debris pile.


Despite massive input of extinguishing water, heavy rainfall and subsequent **winter weather**, the fires lasted 100 days; the debris field remained hot for many months and revealed several heat zones.

The energy-richest zone was under Building 7 [HotSpot A] with a maximum area of 430 m² and a peak temperature of 726 °C (1,340 °F).



This cooling time and starting temperatures lead to a total energy of approx. 1 Peta Joule (240 kt for all three towers WTC1, WTC2 and WTC7).

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02004696v3/document>

Not yet considered is the energy during the event itself (radiation, evaporation, plastic earth deformation, etc.). An energy input of 150 kt per tower is therefore quite plausible.



Overcoming lies



The touchstone of all system lies is the following question: *"Is a researcher allowed to put up a scientifically correct model for discussion with impunity, even if the model contradicts the governmental leading media/institutes [like NIST – or currently the RKI]?"*



Vapor plumes from WTC remnants: minimal exposure to radionuclides would explain the high numbers of cancer cases among emergency workers (more than 10,000 people).

http://archive.boston.com/bigpicture/2011/09/ground_zero_september_11_2001.html

Alternative model

A model as shown on the right must not be introduced into the scientific discourse; already the attempt has serious consequences.

The drawing shows a simple volume model of a focused eruption; each volume package corresponds to an eruption duration of one second.


- green: standing material on top (at the apex)
- orange: material still shooting up

The image shows the situation at time $t = 8.5$ s after the breakthrough of the gases (from the ground).

The model is consistent with the observed energy, vertical momentum (gas flow) and dust formation/ dust flow; the nature of the "clean" energy source (chemical or nuclear) is still secondary.

It also becomes clear why the Twin Towers "mushroomed" during the collapse, as well as how the destructive effect of the material flow was achieved from top to bottom.

The principle of action is that of an avalanche-like wrecking ball.




Atomic nuclei and momentum




To understand the lack of contamination and the lack of shock wave, the following solution is conceivable in a playful way (a refined process, but always detectable):

A start-up process (reactor) melts its way down from 19 m to 0 m in the granite within one hour. The boiling granite in the melt funnel is only approx. 3,000 °C hot; the mixture is interspersed with steam bubbles. Supercriticality is achieved at 0 m (Point Zero).



At the explosion point (0 m), a high-pressured "eruptive egg" is formed at lightning speed; above, the liquid granite in the melt funnel shoots upward through an open [water-filled] borehole, it becomes viscous, solidifies and seals the hole. The extremely hot material of the "eruptive egg" is stopped in its upward movement. However, the material can "burn through" itself upward in a self-cleaning process: by direct impacts of the atomic nuclei (direct transfer of momentum, possible at up to 5,000 °C / 200 bar).

<https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2001/0312/report.pdf>



In short: these upper, erupting working gases are relatively clean and not contaminated.

Global Corporate Governance



01000111011011110111011001100101011100100110111001100001011011100110001101100101

The military-industrial complex (and its successor, the digital-financial complex) does not lack the skill and expertise to make things happen.

However, the leaders of these power structures are subject to the same human weaknesses and instincts as anyone else; we are currently observing that it is precisely people with pathological greed who are reaching the top of the power pyramid.

In a way, these people are also "victims of their own lizard", where the neocortex (logic) and the limbic system serve only their basic instincts (in this case, the reptilian survival instinct of "eating" or "power" – an instinct that some even call demonic).

In short: September 11, 2001 showed us that these psychopaths of power are ruthless to all people. Their actions reveal them, no matter in what fine words they clothe their acts for the "greater good" or for the "good cause."


"Can the rise of Capital Fascism still be prevented?"



The paradox of the situation is that the individual stands seemingly powerless before the ruthless actions of an overpowering, globally acting criminal cartel – but at the same time the problem can be solved at the individual level by overcoming one's own fear.

Interestingly, this applies not only to ordinary citizens and universities, but also to individuals in the group of perpetrators. They, too, must free themselves.

Playing with fire



Of note is the change in perception of nuclear weapons during the numerous tests in the nineteen fifties/nineteen sixties.

While Oppenheimer and his team still describe the shock moment ("*now I have become death, the destroyer of worlds*"), in the years afterwards the films that the U.S. Army released about the nuclear tests were commented on more like a sporting competition.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9pjdwUYWGn0>

In other words, when the World Trade Center was planned in 1962 (groundbreaking was in 1966), nuclear technology was seen as exciting, advanced and modern.

<https://911justicehalifax.wordpress.com/tag/dimitri-khalezov-wtc-nuclear-demolition/>



Gossip and the desire for recognition

From the perspective of 2022, it is hard to imagine anyone finding the detonation of a nuclear weapon in a city "funny" or "exciting".

On the first pages, the model of the Triune Brain model was described; when the limbic system (emotions) is underdeveloped, a person can take refuge in either pure logic (mathematical rationality) or pseudo-reptilian behavior (greed/hunger for power).

It is precisely from the resulting greed for recognition and pride of superiority that the observed "loquacity" and gossip of the perpetrators of September 11 develop.

http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/photos/wtc_dust3.html




The hidden joke in the blueprints

Seen from an emotional inferiority complex, the temptation for a "genius" to commit a "perfect crime" is irresistible without giving at least a little hint about the person of the "genius" and its hidden hand. Blueprints: <http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/masterplan/docs/page14.jpg>

The answer lies, among other things, in the blueprints of the Twin Towers; it is easy to find, especially for physicists. If an "eruptive egg" has indeed formed under the towers, its volume at 150 kt is about $65,000 \text{ m}^3$. If only the upper, clean part erupts, about $30,000 \text{ m}^3$ of working gases are available. This results in a certain eruption height.


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Underground_nuclear_weapons_testing





The "joke" of the physicist colleagues is that the building height corresponds exactly to the apex of the vertical throw. The average velocity of the working gases is 100 m/s; gravitational acceleration in New York is 9.802 m/s^2 ; the Zero Point of the blueprints is – by definition – 75 m deep in granite.

Thus, the "wrecking ball" shoots upward for 10.2 seconds until it comes to a stop exactly at the edge of the roof. After that, it falls with the tower.



Effect of working gases: the white [falling] dust dome

Before the impact of the dust cloud on the ground (and the start of the horizontal pyroclastic flow), the material spread out parabolically in all directions. The motion pattern is similar to a rising, then falling water fountain.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DUqTG9LKzJ4&t=14s>

To confirm the model, the universities – as a renewed antipole of power – must first be freed from their current fear. Physics can provide all the answers needed to solve the problem. NIST can't.

Effect of the working gases: vertical through shot

The vertical through shot can be seen particularly well at the moment of the two falling residual structures of the North Tower (right structure's nickname: "The Spire"; left structure: without nickname). This V-shaped cut reveals the nature of the event.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DUqTG9LKzJ4&t=21s>

Overcoming this fear individually – and consciously taking a stand against the systems lies and crimes of postmodernism – will strengthen society in the long term. Have courage to do so!

Alternative views

Mushroom cloud with
vortex rising over WTC7

2001/09/11
05:21 p.m.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JnLcUxV1dPo&t=12m25s>

Free download as PDF: <http://www.911media.de/>

This booklet argues that the phenomenon of global university silence on the destruction of the World Trade Center is not organized, but should be understood as instinctive.

Globally organized crime does not need tight networks to do this: subtle knowledge of the psychology of the masses is enough to deceive and to undermine free speech in academia. This method must be recognized and exposed.